App. F. IX-4

P

Previous References. Revenue 1943-44 (Confdl.)

File No. 218-43

Serial Nos. 1-4

Later References.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. Final

Part B for May 1946.

SUBJECT Cancellation of inam tenure on S. No. 84 of Hosa Hole village Krishna - Rajapet Taluk, endowed to Gowri and Ganesha at Inam Peer.

G.O.R. 8044 dated 30.4.1946.

To the Inam Commissioner

States that the lands should be continued only on payment of a suitable upset price and that suitable action may be taken accordingly

3696
R

No. A0).20/41-42 — Two files & two -

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE

Bangalore, ... 3rd March .. .. .. 1944.

Revenue 218-43-1.



FROM Rajasevaprasakta
M. Seshadri, Esq., B.A.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

To

V. Subba Rao, Esq., B.A.,
Additional Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

Re: Cancellation of inam tenure on S.No.84 of Hosakote Village Krishnarajpet Taluk, endowed to Gowri and Ganesha at the place.

I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of this office order passed on 24th July 1942, in Appeal No.20/41-42, on the above subject. The Superintendent of Land Records, who was consulted, states, in his letter No.73 dated 4th September 1943 (copy enclosed), that the inam tenure of the above land may be cancelled and the lands given in exchange confirmed to the present holders, on full assessment in the circumstances detailed in the above order of this office. He also states that, in respect of S.No.104 of Hosakote village (which is stated to be an inam granted to Sri Mahadeswaraswami at the place), there is no reference in the inam records evidencing the grant of inam; and that, therefore, Re-S.No.43 of Alambadi village, said to have been granted as inam in lieu of S.No.104 of Hosakote village, may also be subjected to full assessment.

In these circumstances, I request that sanction of Government may kindly be accorded to both the proposals of the Superintendent of Inam Settlement.

The connected file of vernacular records and the district office file bearing No.M.110/39-40 received from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, are transmitted, herewith, for ready reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M. Seshadri
Muzrai Commissioner.

MC

Cancellation of the inam tenure of certain lands endowed for the worship of Gowri and Ganesha at Hosakotay Village, Krishnarajpet Taluk.

3696/R.

Muzrai File No. 218 of 1943-44. Pag

(1) The case relates to the lands endowed for the worship of Gowri and Ganesha at Hosakotay Village, in Krishnarajapet Taluk which were brought under submersion by the 88' Contour of Krishnaraja Sagara as far back as 1915-16.

From the certified copy of the award passed by the Special Land Acquisition Officer, on 23.6.1916, it is seen that old S. No. 84, ~~which~~ had been divided into S. Nos. 84 and 192 of Hosakotay Village and converted into wet, measuring 2A-16 G. and 2 A-11 G respectively each assessed at Rs. 15/-. These two S. Nos. which were endowed for the worship of the above deities having come under submersion, exchange lands were awarded by the S.L.A.O. to two persons Venkatasubbiah and Krishnappa as noted below:-

(i) Old S. No. 174	1-33	Siriyur Village		
(ii) " " 76	0-20	Gambadagere Hobli	} to Venkatasubbiah	
	2-13	Hunsur Taluk		
(iii) " " 41	2-14	Alambadi Kaval Somanahalli Hobli Krishnarajapet Tk.	} " Krishnappa.	

(2) From the Shanbhog's report dated the 20th May 1938, it is seen that Old S. Nos. 174 and 176 of Siriyur Village now bear Re-Survey Nos 85 and 105/1 respectively. It is not clear as to why only old S. Nos. are mentioned in the re port of the Supdt. of Inams to the M.C. What Re-Survey No. 41 of Alambadi Kaval, mentioned above is not known.

In the office note of the S. L's office file relating to No is borne by

Re-Survey No. 105/1 to correspond to old S. No. 7

Further, as old S. No. 76 (Re-Survey No. 105/1) of Siriyur Village was considered to be unirrigable being situated at a Higher level, a portion measuring 0-20 guntas of S No. 236 of Goragundi Village is stated to have been granted in exchange by the S. L. A. O. to the sons of the previous Anubhavadar, viz., Venkatasubbiah. As the records relating to the subsequent exchange of land is not received it is not clear whether the grant of a portion of S. No. 236 of Goragundi Village has been made as inam or it is not an inam, no question of cancelling its

Cancellation of the inam tenure of certain lands endowed for the worship of Gowri and Ganesha at Hosakotay Village, Krishnarajapet Taluk.

inam tenure arises, as is the case with S.No. 43 of Hamtadi Kural granted in exchange of S.No. 104 of Hosakotay Village supposedly endowed to Shri Mahadeshwaraswamy temple.

(3) As the letter from the Supdt of Inams does not contain any reference to the Re. S.Nos corresponding to the lands granted in exchange to those endowed for the performance of pooja to Gowri and Ganesha and also to the portion of the S.No. 236 of Goragundi - as to whether it is an inam or not, these points may perhaps be made clear before considering the proposals of the M.C.

(4) 1/10/43 29/1

Subd.

3/5/44

(5) Draft subd

3/5/44

(6)

[2] Issued No R 5791, dated the 3rd April 1944,

To the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Calls for the above information.

Sub A 6/4/44 [3]

Letter No. M-1-App. 20/41-42, dated the 23rd November 1943.

From the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, forwarding a Copy of the report of the D.C. Mysore District, in the matter and stating that the change of tenure of S.No 105 of Siriyur Hunsur Taluk and a portion of S.No. 236 of Goragundi, K.R. Nagar Taluk. does not arise.

(7) The report of the D.C. and the correspondence on the subject disclose the following facts.

(8) Old S.No. 84 of Hosakote Village, Kannambadi Hobli in Krishnarajapet Taluk, was a dry land measuring 4 acres 36 guntas and assessed at Rs. 4-8-0. This was granted as Devadaya Inam for the performance of pooja to Gowramma and Ganesha

idols every year. This S.No. was subsequently divided into two S.Nos ie. 84 and 192, converted into wet and a water rate of Rs 25-8-0, as well as a quit rent of Rs 1-8-0 was levied thereon. The circumstances that led to the enfranchisement of this Devadaya inam land are not known, as the connected records are not forthcoming. As these two lands, which were in the enjoyment of the vatandars Venkatasubbiah and Krishnappa, came under submersion in Krishnaraja Sagara, exchange lands were awarded as far back as 23.6.1916 by the Special Land Acquisition officer K.R. Sagara Works, Mysore

(17) How the land has been enfranchised? L 3/L

(9) As a result of the award by the S.L.A.O Krishnappa got - old S.No 41 (2A-14G) of Alambadi Kaval, Somanahalli Hobli, Krishnarajapet Taluk, and Venkata-Subbiah got old S.Nos 174 (1A-33G) and 76 (0A-20G) - measuring 2 acres 13 guntas - of Siriyur Village, Gowdagere Hobli, Hunsur Taluk. Old S.No. 41 of Alambadi Kaval now bears Re.S.No 359 and is in possession of Narayana-Sharma Son of Krishnappa. The original intention in awarding exchange land in Alambadi Kaval was that the grantees should reside at the new Village formed for the use of the ex proprietated ryots, viz Alambadi Kaval and continue services to Gowri and Ganesha which were being performed at Hosakote prior to the submersion of the Village under 88' Contour of K.R. Sagara

(10) Of the two S.Nos granted to Venkatasubbiah which now bear Re: S.No 85 (old S.No 174) and 105/1 (old S.No. 76)

Conversion of [illegible] of pooja to Gowri and [illegible]

Serial No.

the latter (S.No.105/1) being situated at a higher level than the source of water supply to the neighbouring wet lands. was considered to be unfit for wet cultivation and therefore an equal extent (viz., 12 gunthas) of land out of S.No.236 of Goraguntli Village, Kasaba Hobli, Krishnarajanagar Taluk was subsequently granted by the L.A.O. to Shamanna, son of Venkata Subbiah.

(11) These lands granted in exchange have been wrongly treated as inam and only now in respect of them is being recovered. The local officers should have obtained orders of Govt. long back either to convert the exchange lands as Devadaya inam subject to the same conditions as those governing the original grant of, in the alternative, should have recovered full assessment as Sirkar lands.

(12) In the case of another institution of Hoscholu, namely Nanjeswaraswami, S.No. 10 A of the village had been endowed for its benefit. This land also came under submersion. Although This had been treated as Devadaya inam. there are no entries in the inam settlement records to show that it had been granted to the institution as inam by competent authority. This staff had purchased this land and after its submersion was awarded 0-15 gunthas of land out of S.No.43 of Alambadi Kaval. On he agreed to construct the temple also in the new village and carry on the daily services.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Enfranchisement of lands granted for maintenance of poojas to Idols and Gopuras at Hosakote - H.R. Pet Taluk.

3696-43 / 2372/R

Govt. File No. 218 — C.R. -8 — 81 of 1943.

Serial No.

(13) The grantee however failed to construct the temple and perform the services as promised. It is now proposed to confirm all these lands to the present holders on full assessment as the services for which these lands had been set apart are no longer being performed.

(14) In view of these circumstances the lands may be confirmed to the present occupants subject to payment of full assessment from the date on which these lands were granted in exchange, less the amount of Jodi already paid by them.

(15) Carelessness on the part of local officers has given room for loss of revenue to Govt.

Submitted for orders.

Sd/- 21/12

(16) Result. Work notice to para 17 supra.

(17) Notice is invited to the copy of the award passed by the S.L.A.O., H.R.J. Works, on 23-6-1916. It is stated therein that one S.No. 2A which was subsequently split up into two S.Nos. 2A and 2B, was charged with a quit rent of Rs. 1-8-0. As quit rent is charged in case of enfranchised lands, it was not [illegible] and under what circumstances this extra was charged with

Serial No.

(20) The facts are explained in the M.O. order dated 24.7.42

(21) There are cases in which the lands granted on Devadaya Inam are proposed to be confirmed to the persons and in possession on full assessment on the ground that the purposes for which the lands were originally granted have failed. Strictly in such cases the lands so held would be liable to be resumed by Govt as Devadaya if they should be left with the persons in possession, it should be only on payment of some reasonable price. & not free

(22) The present M.O. may be continued on the proposals made in the order of 24.7.42

Law
(23)

Ex
13/1
R/15/1 Ex

LAW DEPT 2476 GT OF MYSORE

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

- [illegible] for the Deputy Commissioner & Deputy to Govt. and Commissioner of Inspection Kannanapet Taluk

2372/R.

File No. [illegible] of 1943-44 | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

Forwarded unofficially with Compliments to the Mysore Commissioner in Mysore, for remarks.

By Order,

For Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

NO NO 57/1945-46.
Dated the 21st January 1946

5491

No: LI- Muz-Appl.20/41-2 Dated 6-3-46.

(25) Returned with compliments.

Though it is usual to levy a reasonable upset price in all similar cases, yet in view of the special circumstances of the present case as detailed in this office appeal order No.20/41-2, I see no reason to levy any upset price. The lands may be confirmed to the present holders on full assessment.

Mural Commissioner.

8/3

(26) The circumstances of the case justifying the special treatment are not clear. Some reasonable upset price is justified & should be levied

9/3

Land SO

(27) Mem Agree

10/3/46

(28)

25/3/46

(29) The rate of upset price to be levied is for consideration. It is seen from the award passed on 29.6.1916 that the

Serial No.

lands granted in exchange of those which came under submersion
i.e., the lands now in the enjoyment of the descendants of
original valuetdars. has been valued at Rs. 200/- per acre.
The present value must be far in excess of the rate
fixed more than thirty years ago.

Subd. 1/4 (30) Orders may issue and the
rate of upset price may be fixed by the D.C.
after suitable local enquiry and taking all
the circumstances into consideration.

Law Secy.

(31) Subject to the enquiry which
may be difficult to finish the expense
may not be for more than enquiry

Min. Agric)

(32)

(33) Draft Sd.

(34) Issued No. R. 6044, dated the 30th April 1946.
To-The Mysore Commissioner in Mysore.
States that the lands should be confirmed only
on payment of a suitable upset-price and that
suitable action may be taken accordingly. The upset
price being fixed by the D.C. concerned, after making
local enquiry

4

3. Record

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

File No. of 194 .

General and Rev
Secretariat

Serial No.	

IN THE COURT OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE, BANGALORE.

Appeal No.20/41-42.

Appellant:- Respondent:-

K. K. Narayana Sharma, Son of K. M. Krishnappa, Shanbhogue, Alambadi Kaval, Krishnarajpet Taluq, residing in Jodi Gubbi Village, Holenarasipur Taluq. Vs. Government.

Subject.

This is an appeal against the Order of the Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District, directing the resumption of inam lands endowed to Gowridevaru at Hosakote, Krishnarajpet Taluq.

Facts of the Case.

Inam S.Nos.84 and 192 of Hoskote village, Krishnarajpet Taluq, measuring 4-27 a. g. are endowed for Gowri and Ganesha puja at the place, every year; and they are being enjoyed by Krishnappa and Venkatasubbiah. They were acquired for the Krishnarajasagara Works and exchange lands, as detailed in the margin, were granted subject to the condition that the produce from the exchange lands should be utilised for worshipping Gowri and Ganesha, every year.

Village.	Taluq.	S.No.	Extent. A. G.	
Alambadi	Krishnarajpet	41	2 14	Krishnappa.
Siriyur	Hunsur	174	1 33	Venkatasubbiah.
		76	0 20	

2. One Venkatesiah of Jodi Gubbi village, complained to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, on 25-10-1937, that the income from the inam lands was not being utilised for the intended objects. The ryots of Alambadi Kaval also complained to the Amildar, similarly. As S.No.41, which was awarded to Krishnappa, is being enjoyed by his son, K. K. Narayana Sharma,(appellant) who is living at jodi Gubbi village, Holenarasipur Taluq, he was issued a notice to appear before the Amildar, on 5-3-1938, but he did not do so.

3. The lands awarded to Venkatasubbiah are being enjoyed by his sons, Shamanna and Hiriyanniah. Shamanna gave a statement before the Amildar, on 20-7-1938, to the effect that, as S.No.76 was in high level, exchange land measuring the same extent of 20 guntas was granted out of S.No.236 of Goragundi village by the Land Acquisition Officer; that he had spent nearly Rs 200 to bring the land under cultivation; that the income therefrom was not sufficient to cover the assessment; and that, yet, he had been worshipping Gowri and Ganesha, and that the amount -----

spent by him on lands and the worship might be paid out of the Gowridevar institution funds.

4. Another S.No.104 of Hoskote village, which had been endowed to Sri Mahadeswaraswami at the place, is stated to have been purchased by Krishnappa, from one Subbanna, for a consideration of Rs50; as this land was also brought under submersion, exchange land to the extent of a. g. 0-15 is stated to have been granted to the temple out of S.No.43 of Ambadi Kaval, as Krishnappa agreed to construct the temple at Alambadi Kaval, and utilise the produce of land for worship. As these conditions were not carried out and the inam lands of Gowri and Ganesha, and Sri Mahadeswaraswami Temple are being enjoyed by ~~Narayana~~ K. K. Narayana Sharma (appellant) and Shamanna, sons of Krishnappa and Venkatasubbiah, respectively, the Amildar of Krishnarajpet Taluq, recommended the resumption of the lands by Government, on 10-3-1938.

5. The inam lands were granted for worship in Hoskote village, for the common welfare of the whole village. As most of the families of Hoskote have settled at Alambadi Kaval and, as the object of the grant is not being fulfilled, the Deputy Commissioner, in his Order dated 6-10-1939, directed the Sub Division Officer to take further action, to resume the lands.

6. It is against this Order, K. K. Narayana Sharma has filed the present appeal on the ground that the Order of the Lower Court was passed without any enquiryand hearing the appellant; but based on the report made by the local officers, on the complaint petition of one G. K. Venkatesiah.

Pending disposal of this appeal, a stay order was passed on 10-1-1942.

The case came off for hearing on 13th June 1942, ~~for hearing~~ Mr. H. R. Ramanna, Advocate for the appellant, was present and heard.

ORDER. 24th July 1942.

The village is submerged. The object of the inams no longer exists. They may be removed from inam tenure and left to the present holder, on ordinary tenure, on condition of payment of full assessment. Recommend to Government.

- Sd.- M. Venkatesa Iyengar,
Muzrai Commissioner.

"Copy"

M. N. Ramanna

for Muzrai Commissioner

Letter No.IBT-73 dated 4th September 1943 from the Superintendent of Land Records, Survey and Settlement in Mysore, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Subject:- Re. the cancellation of the Inam tenure of certain Inam land endowed for the worship of Gowri and Ganesha Temple at Hoskote Village, Krishnarajpet Taluk.

With reference to your letter No.Appl.20/41-42 dated 11th August 1942 requesting my opinion on the above subject, I have the honor to state as follows:

On a perusal of the Inam Records of this office it is seen that S.No.84 (extent of 4 acres and 36 guntas (dry)) was endowed to T.L.No.529/137 for the support of Gowramma and Ganeshadevaru to be made of earth every year and worship rendered at Hoskote. The Survey Numbers , the Inam tenure of which is proposed to be cancelled, are, as is seen from the records granted in exchange for S.No.84 of Hoskote Village submerged by the Krishnarajasagar. The exchanged lands are therefore subject to the conditions, viz., making in earth every year the idols of Gowri and Ganesha and performing the pooja in the month of Bhadrapada Sudda.

Village.	Taluk.	S.No.	Extent.
Alambadi	Krishnarajpet	41/	2-14
Siriyur	Hunsur	174/	1-33
"	"	76	0-20

The Muzrai Commissioner in Appeal No.20/41-42 has ordered that the Inam tenure may be cancelled and the lands be confirmed to the present holders on the ground that the village is submerged and that the object of the Inam exists no longer.

Though there is not much material to hold that the object of the Inam exists no longer or that the worship of the deity as indicated in the Inam records is not being performed it is difficult to watch whether the holders, or do not perform the pooja in the specified manner. The Inam may be cancelled on this last named ground, though not on the ground of their being no need for the continuance of the Inam, and the lands are confirmed to the present holders on full assessment as the holders are agreeable.

In dealing with the present case the Muzrai Commissioner, in his order dated 24th July 1942 refers to S.No.104 of Hoskote Village which is stated to

an Inam granted to Sri Mahadeswaraswami the place. But there is no reference in the Inam records evidencing the grant of Inam. Hence Re-Survey No.43 of Alambadu Village stated to have been granted as Inam in lieu of S.No.194 of Hoskote Village may also be subjected to full assessment.

The enclosures received with your letter are returned herewith.

"Copy"

M. N. Ramesh

For Muzrai Commissioner.



Initialled
(L.K)
June 23.1916

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೃ ಕೊಂಚಿಗ್ರಾಮದ ಭೂಮೀಕುರುವರ

ನೀಲಕರ ಮುಳುಗು ಸರಿಸಿಬರುವಳು ಮೀಣ್ಣಲ್ಲು

ಖಾತೆ ನಂ	ಹೆಸರು	ವಿಸ್ತೀರ್ಣ ಎಕರೆ	ಗುಂ	ಆಣೆ	ಪಾಯಿ	ಷರತ್ತು
84	ಕೆರೆ	2	16	15	0	0
ಸರಕಾರ್ಯವರು	192 ಕೆರೆ	2	11	15	0	0

ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಭೂಮಿಯ ಮಜಮೀಣ್ಣಲ್ಲು

ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ	ಹಿಸ್ಸಾ ನಂ	ವಿಸ್ತೀರ್ಣ ಎಕರೆ	ಗುಂ	ಆಣೆ	ಪಾಯಿ	
174	1	33	0	0	0	ಮೇಲಂಚು ಭೂಮಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬೆಳೆಯುವ ಬೆಳೆಗಳು
76	0	20	0	0	0	
		2	13			
141	2	14	0	0	0	ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಭೂಮಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬೆಳೆಯುವ ಬೆಳೆಗಳು
		4	27			

ಸ ಪತ್ರಾಕಾರಲಂಚಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಚೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಅಂಶಗಳು

(1) ಪ್ರತಿಯ ಕಂಬಳು ಅಜ್ಞಾನವು.

(2) ಕಂಬರು ಪಿಕ್ಕಿಂಲಿಕ್ಕಳು ಸ್ವಚ್ಛಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಪತ್ರ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಅಪೇಕ್ಷೆ.

(3) ಇತರೆ ವಿಧ ಬಾಧ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯವಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿಲ್ಲ.

(4) ಶೀರ್ಷಿಕೆ ಕಂಡಿಕೆ ಇದ್ದಂತೆ ಇದೆ.

(5) ಪ್ರತಿಯ ಸರ್ವೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ ಗಳು, ಎಕರೆ, ಅಡಿಕೆ ತೆಂಗಿನ ಮರಗಳು ಇದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿದೆ.

ಮೇಲಂಚು ಭೂಮಿ ಬೆಳೆಯುವ ಬೆಳೆಗಳು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿದೆ.

"Copy"

copy applied on

" ready "

" delivered "

number of words 142+52 doub'

Copying fee -0-3-0 +0-3-0

Comparing fee 0-0-6

22-6-1946

ಕಂಬರು ಕಾಡಾದಮಿಕಾಯಿ ಪಕ್ಕಕೆರೆಲ ಸಿದ್ಧರಿ ಆಚ್ಚೆಯ ಸ್ಥಳಕ್ಕೆ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಪ್ರದೇಶ ಪೈಪ್ಪೆಯಾಗಿ ಶಿಫಾರಸು ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ

ಮೇಲಂಚು ಭೂಮಿ ಬೆಳೆಯುವ
ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ
ಕಚೇರಿಯವರು

Bhagavanmurthy
manager
Special Landacquisition Office
Tirthola Rajer Sugara



Award Under Sec. 11 of the Land acquisition Regulation

on the office of the Special Land acquisition officer Mysore district CR works

(1) Land acquisition Case no 726 of 1915-16

(2) Name of the project Cauvery Reservoir.

(3) ~~The~~ Authority for the acquisition declaration no $\frac{1693}{(039)}$ dated 23 12 1912 published at pages 78 part 1 of the Mysore Gazette of the

(4) Name of the taluk K. Krishna Rajapet

Village Hosakote.

(5) The situation extent and other description of the land with particulars of trees, buildings and other standing crops thereon

name of Inhabitant	name of Anubhavadar	survey no	class	Total extent a	g	Kharab a	g	Remaining extent a	g	Assessment Rs	as	p	Area required extent a	g	Assessment Rs	as	p
Gowramma Revaru	Venkata Subbaraju self and for his brothers unit (2) Krishnaraja	84	wet	2	16	.	.	2	16	15	0	0	2	16	15	0	0
		192	do	2	11	.	.	2	11	15	0	0	2	11	15	0	0
													4	27	30	0	0

6. Names of persons interested and the nature of their respective interests.

(1) Venkata subbaiah for himself and for his brother and aunt for nor minor son

(2) Krishnappa Shanbhogh of Hosakote } Own agnl interests

Mala wahivatdars of Inam lands

7 Schedule of notices served, statements filed by interested parties and plan and documents etc refferd to

notice served and statements recorded & ~~not served~~ are enclosed

8 Amount of Compensation claimed and the apportionment applied for S no 84. 192 Inam wet lands of Hosakote Village are declared to be acquired for C R works

Venkata Subbaiah and Krishnappa are present on behalf of Gavaramma declares and claim exchange of lands subject to payment of Govt and water rate

acre-33 guntas of irrigable land in

Survey no 174. } Sirigur
0 - 20 guntas out of Survey no 76 } Village
to no 1

1 - 13 guntas

1 - 14 to of irrigable land out of S no 41) for no 2
Alambadi Survey pet taluk

- 27. guntas.

These lands were originally granted as dry.

DATE
KRISHNARAJASAGARA

Inam and they are subsequently converted to it by payment of Inam water rate as the claimant agree to pay the difference of contribution which may have paid at the rate of Rs 20/- per acre the amount is be recovered now at Rs 40/- per acre on 4-27 guntas is Rs 187-0-0

no (1) Rs 93-0-0 no (2) 94-0-0

The p. atta of the new lands may be made out in the name of said Gaviramma Devaru treating them as Inam.

The exchange value to be accepted at Rs 300-00 per acre is Rs 1402-8-0

preparation charges ~~per acre~~ at Rs 20/- per acre on 2 acres 16 guntas = Rs 47-00

at Rs 15-2-13 (a. g) ——— = Rs 34-14-0

Rs 81-14-0

Rs 1484-6-0

Deducting contribution Rs 187-0-0

net Value Rs 1297-6-0

award. Survy nos 84 and 192 Inam wet lands of Hosa Kote Village Krishna Raja pet taluk come under Submerg

LAND ACQUISITION OFFICE
NO. 1 41
DATE
KRISHNARAJASAGARA

the ~~former~~ ~~survey~~ first ~~state~~ stage. In the quit-rent register the former survey no 84 4 acres 36 guntas dry assessed at Rs 4-8-0 is shown as Devadaya Inam granted for the support of Gangiramma and Ganesh. The land is charged with a quit rent of Rs 1-8-0 - now the old S no 84 is divided in to S nos 84 and 192 both converted in to wet and charged with a water-rate of Rs 25-8-0 The land is in the ~~possession~~ ~~diction~~ and ~~the~~ enjoyment of the Vahivatdars Shanubhogh Venkata Subbaiah and Krishnappa As the rayats of ~~the~~ a note village are about to disperse and settle some in Alambadi Karal and others in Siringur The Vahivatdars agree to divide the extent now included in the said ~~two~~ survey numbers equally and claim lands in exchange The Inam is not enfranchised but the proceeds of the lands after payments. Jodi, water-rate, and cesses are devoted to Gangaramma and Ganesh annual puja. The Vahivatdars set up two images and carry on worship The same arrangements, it is

ACQUISITION OFFICER
NO
DATE
KRISHNARAJASAGARA

agreed, will be continued in the new vi-llages -

The exchange granted accordingly for 4 acres 27 guntas of wet required (Sno 84 and 172) is as follows —

a. g
1 - 33 of irrigable land in S.no 176 } of Seriyu
0 - 20 — do — 176 } Village Hanna
2 - 13 } taluk

2 - 14 of irrigable land out of S.no 1 of Alambadi Kaval Krishnarajapet taluk -

Both the lands will be treated as Jodi Inam subject to pay-ment of such water rate and cesses as may here after be fixed under the rule in force by the survey Department The Inam is inalienable and is devoted to Gavarcemma and Ganesh for fulfilment of the object of the original grant

S.no 176 and portion of survey no 76 awared in Siriyur village will Continue under the manage-ment of claimant number (1) Ra-hiwatdar Venkatasubbaiah. The extent of 2 acres 14 guntas awarded in ~~Siriyur~~ S.no 41 of Alambadi Kaval will continue under the manage-ment of wahiwatdar Krishnappa The pattas in both cases will have to issue in the name of Gavramma.

SPECIAL LAND ACQUISITION O
No.....
DATE.....
KRISHNARAJASAG

Ganesh as hitherto to

The exchange Value on 4-27-0 at

Rs 300-00 per acre is 1402-8-0

preparation charges 93-8-0

at Rs 20/- per acre

Total Rs 1496-0-0

Recovery :- The difference between the contribution already paid on old wet lands and the sanctioned rate on the lands given in exchange is Rupees 40/- per acre Thus amounts to Rs 187/-

The claimant no 1 has agreed to pay Rs 93/-

do ..... 2 ..... Rs 94/-

one forth to be recovered now and the balance to be recovered in 3 annual instalments Commencing from 1916-17

The new lands granted in exchange will pay only Jodi but not water-rate in the first year in the 2nd, 3rd, and 4th years $\frac{1}{4}$, $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{3}{4}$ water rate will be succesively levied. Full water rate to be levied with Jodi and cesses from the 5th year

DATE .....
ISHNARAJASAB

The Jodi and water-rate on the lands acquired will be remitted.

from 1916 - 1917

s/o L Krishna Rao

23 6 1916

Special Land acquisition Officer

ayacutore

Krishna Raja Sagara

"Copy"

Yogananda Rao

Manager

Special Land acquisition

of

Krishna Raja Sagar

2-5-25

Compared

Under Secretary Board

Clerk

"Copy"

Copy applied on

" ready "

" delivered "

number of words 460 + 40 double

Copying fee 1-4-0 + 0-4-0

Comparing fee 0-1-10

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT..... Cancellation of the inam tenure of certain lands endowed ..... for the performance of pooje to Shri Gowri and Shri Ganesh originally ..... at Hosakotay Village, Krishnarajapet Taluk .....

Section Mwzrai

File No. 218-43

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to. 3696/R...

No. R 5791 | Encl 3 Files

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Mwz Section)

the 3rd April 1944.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. Appeal. 20 of 1941-42, dated the 3rd March 1944, on the above subject, I am directed to state that as old S. No 76 (Re-S No 105/1) of Siriyur Village, ~~Gowdagere Hobli~~ Hunsur Taluk was considered to be unirrigable being ~~situated at a higher level than the channal head~~, an equal extent, viz, 0-20 guntas of land out of S No 236 of ~~Goreagandi Village~~, ~~Hasaba Hobli~~, Krishnarajanagar Taluk, has been awarded in exchange by the Special Land Acquisition Officer Krishnaraja Sagara Works, and therefore to enquire whether the latter land extent of has been treated as inam and, if so, whether it should not be confirmed to the present anubhava-

Date of issue..... 6:4:44.

Recd. with records.

Signed by..... (M. S-K-V.).

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

dar

after cancelling its inam tenure
in stead of 1 (~~old~~) S. No. the former

2 I am also to ~~say~~ request that the records relating to the award of the land in question may be obtained and sent with ~~the~~ information as to the correct Re-Survey Numbers corresponding to the ~~old~~ Survey Numbers which are to be conferred on full assessment

3 The connected records received with your letter under reply and those since obtained from your office are herewith returned for reference and retransmission

I have, etc.,

for Addl Secy. to Govt

No. G.1.Agl.97/41-42 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Encl

Bangalore, ...4th...November.....1943.

From [illegible]
Seshadri, Esq., M.A.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

2372/R

To P. Venkata Ramaiya, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Law Department.

Sir,

Cancellation of the Inam Tenure of certain lands attached for the performance of puja to Sri Gopal Krishna at Hoskote Village, Nidagamadlagam Hobli.

With reference to your letter No.P.Sri.Muz.41-42-2 dated 6th April 1944, on the above subject, I have the honour to state that it is reported by the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, that the tenure of S.No.71 on the Survey Map of Siriyur, does not appear to have been changed into "Inam" as registered as such in the Inam Registers and Records of Demand Accounts; that only owners were left, mentioned inadvertently taken notion that it was Inam; and that, therefore, the question of change of tenure does not arise. He is, therefore, of opinion that the land may be confirmed as Government land in favour of the deities, subject to the payment of full assessment; and that Pinjamiah may be continued as the Vatandar, as he has kept the deities in his house and is doing the puja.

It is also reported that the records relating to the acquisition of the inam land [illegible] were not found, in spite of a vigilant search made in the Special Land Acquisition Office. In the circumstances, the question of change of tenure of S.No.71 [illegible] S.No.276, of Gorad Mal Village [illegible].

Letter No. D4-C.2262/44 dated 13th November 1945 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Subject:- Cancellation of Inam tenure of certain lands endowed for worship to Sri Gowri and Ganesha originally at Hosakote, Krishnarajanagar Taluk.

Adverting to correspondence ending with your Memo No.App.20/41-42 dated 30th January 1945 on the above subject, I have the honor to state that S.No. 76 (R.S.No.105/1) of Siriyur village had been awarded as Inam land by the Special Land Acquisition Officer, in lieu of S.Nos.1 2 and 34 of Hosakote village, which had been acquired. But the tenure of this land (S.No.76 or R.S.No.105/1) which was a portion of Government land does not appear to have been changed into 'Inam' after obtaining the orders of proper authority and registered as such in the Inam Village and Record of Rights Accounts. Only cesses were being recovered under a mistaken notion that it was Inam. This irregularity ought to have been noticed by the Jamabandi Officers. Since the tenure of S.No.76 of Siriyur village is Government and the tenure of S.No.26 of Goragunda village, which was given in exchange for S.No.76 is also still Government, the question of the change of tenure does not arise. I am of opinion that this land may be confirmed as Government land in favour of the deities subject to the payment of full assessment and that Hiriyanniah appointed as Vahivatdar as it is reported that he has kept the deities in his house and that he has been conducting the puja. There is no question of constructing a separate temple for these deities.

It is reported by the Special Land Acquisition Officer that the records relating to the acquisition of the inam land and the award of land in exchange were not found in spite of a vigilant search made in his office.

The entire file of connected records accompanies.

"Copy"

Received

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Extract of Form No 8 Krishnarajapet Taluk (Hosakole : S.No

— Extract from Revenue Survey Map-

— Of Siriyur Hunsur Tq



S. Shivaraj 26/12
Section Officer
K R Nagar

ck R Nagar

Appendix F. G.—1]

SUBJECT..... Confirmation of the lands granted for the performance of pooja annually to Sri Gowre and Sri Ganesh at Hosakote in Krishnarajpet Taluk, to the present occupants

Section Muzrai

File No. 218 A 5

Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to.....2384 K.....

No. R. 8044/ M/vd. (Mysig)

General and Revenue Secretriat

(Mus. Section)

the 26th April 1946.

To. – The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore

Sir,

Adverting to the correspondence ending with your Un-official Note No. K.1- Mus. Appeal 20 of 1941-42, dated the 6th March 1946, on the above subject, I am directed to state that Govt. consider that the lands should be confirmed only on payment of a suitable upset price and to request that further action may be taken accordingly, the upset price being fixed by the Deputy Commissioner concerned, after making a local enquiry.

2. The connected records are herewith returned.

I have, etc.,

for Secy to Govt.

Law Dept.

Date of issue..... 30-4-46

Signed by..... MSKV

List of enclosures (1) Mandya D.C's office file D.Dis No. H-1105/39-40

—do— (2), H1-561/41-42

(3) Mysore D.C's office

Copied by File DA-P.R.170/44-45, d. 2.5.1945

(4) M.C's office File App. No 20 of 41-42

Examined by (5) Vernacular file.

Endowments Khatte

WD 0874—GPB—25,000—20 11-45